

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Priority 1= high 2= medium 3= low	Who
1.	Apologies	Apologies from			
2.	Notes of last meeting				
3.	Ongoing Schemes				
a)	Issue No: 2940 Improvements to Byway 10 Milford Mill Road.	<p>Update provided: The substantive bid was successful. The designs have been finalised and the contractors to provide the Boardwalk have been agreed however the price received from the installers is in excess of that originally envisaged.</p> <p>Consent is still being sought from Landowner and Tenants via the land agent to proceed with the diversion order for the Right of Way.</p>		1	JW

b)	<p>Issue No: Concerns about speeding traffic Gunville Hill/Gunville Road</p>	<p>The Parish Council expressed concerns about the damaged signage on the bend and worn white lines. JW has agreed a scheme to improve signing and lining.</p> <p>Update provided: The order has been issued to BBLP - awaiting start date.</p>		1	JW
c)	<p>Issue No: Bollards to prevent parking Eyes Drive, Alderbury</p>	<p>Parking was causing damage to verge and obstruction to traffic flow, including refuse vans. JW has agreed scheme to implement bollards.</p> <p>Update provided: The work has been completed although vehicles are still managing to park between the bollards. A design for additional bollards at a cost of £750 has been sent to Parish Council.</p>		1	JW
d)	<p>Issue No: 20mph Speed limit Coombe Bissett</p>	<p>TRO has been advertised in conjunction with the 50mph speed limit.</p> <p>Update provided The red surfacing will take place when the</p>	That the Area Board notes the update.	1	JW

		weather improves under the new contract however a works order for the signing has been issued with a requested completion date of 25/01/2016.			
e)	Issue No: 20mph Speed limit Whiteparish	TRO has been advertised. Update provided: The coloured surfacing will take place when the weather improves under the new contract however a works order has been issued with a completion date of 8/2/2015.	That the Area Board notes the update.	1	JW
f)	Issue No: Request for replacement bus shelter. Clarendon Park	Update provided: Cost of relocating and refurbishing Bus Shelter from Amesbury is approx. £3k. The cheapest Bus Shelter available with half end panels would cost £1906, with full end panels it would cost £2012. This includes the cost of installation. These details have been passed to the Parish Council. Agreed action: The PC have confirmed that they are progressing with the replacement of the Bus	That the Area Board notes the update and removes this issue from the list.	2	JW

		Shelter outside of the CATG therefore this item can be closed.			
g)	Issue No: Request for measures to reduce speeds Odstock Traffic Calming Scheme	<p>JW has produced scheme consisting of surfacing and signing works. The road is to be resurfaced however no date has been confirmed.</p> <p>PC have yet to confirm contribution in writing. Total cost of works including road closure is £6,000.</p> <p>Agreed action: RB to liaise with cabinet member to try and establish a date for surfacing works.</p> <p>Update provided: High friction surfacing would not be carried out at this time of the year as it needs good weather. Therefore these works will be carried out under the new contract.</p>	That the Area Board notes the update.	2	RB
h)	Issue No: 3790 Traffic Management Scheme, Ford,	JW has met with PC to discuss potential options. PC has held a meeting with local community.		2	JW

		Update provided: JW still working with PC to develop proposals to bring to CATG.			
i)	Issue No: 3801 Request for informal crossing near the recreation ground, West Grimstead	Designs submitted to PC and CATG. Work has been ordered. Update provided: Work start date has been revised to 18/1/2015.	That the Area Board notes the update.	1	JW
j)	Issue No: Refurbishment of fingerposts Redlynch	Work has been commissioned by the PC. Update provided: PC to provide update at the meeting.		NA	PC
k)	Issue No: 3541 No through Road Sign Farley Farm Road	Work is being completed by Traffic Management Team. Update provided: This work has now been completed.	That the Area Board notes the update and removes this issue from the list.	NA	MS
l)	Issue No: Horse Warning Sign at each end of Lane Landford, Stock Lane	JW reported that the signs would cost approximately £100 as they could be attached to the existing posts. Parish Council suggested that they were happy to fund the work and reclaim from the Stables.	That the Area Board notes the update and removes this issue from the list.	TBC	JW

		Agreed action: PC have asked for a contribution but received no further response therefore have asked for the matter to be closed.			
m)	Issue No: New right hand turning lane requested Turning to Charlton Manor Farm, Charlton All Saints	JW explained that it was not possible to implement a formal right turning lane as existing on the opposite side of the traffic island due to the narrow road widths. JW presented a scheme to remove some of the existing hatching to create the illusion of a right turn lane that would cost approximately £200. The CATG agreed to fund the scheme subject to £50 contribution from Downton PC.			PC
n)	Issue No: Speed limit review Various locations including Stock Lane, Landford	Landford Parish Council had conducted a detailed study of speed limits on all routes in the village and this had resulted in a number of requests and recommendations for change. This study had been carried out last year prompted by the DfT's scheme to review speed limits in the New Forest. The parish council	That the Area Board notes the update		RB

	<p>was very concerned about the way in which the DfT's scheme had been concluded, especially in regard to Stock Lane. The CATG could put the roads listed in the Parish Council report forward for review at a cost of £2,500. Should the review result in recommendation for speed limits to be reduced, there would be further financial implications to implement these changes, likely to cost anything from £3k - £5k. Due to the concerns and costs surrounding this matter, Cllr Britton agreed to engage in further talks with the Portfolio Holder to seek a financial contribution from Wiltshire Council.</p> <p>The Cabinet Member had confirmed the commitment to review the signage once the new regulations were released. JW confirmed that this is current forecast for Spring 2016.</p> <p>Update provided: RB to update on further discussions with Cabinet Member.</p>			
--	---	--	--	--

a)	<p>Issue No: 3985 Extension of NWAAT on The Borough, Downton</p>	<p>Vehicles parked at the end of the NWAAT are blocking the flow of vehicles into the village, particularly the buses, lorries and vans. Long tailbacks are often caused which interferes with flows through signals. This may be compounded further next year as more houses are due to be constructed increasing traffic flows. JW has met with PC to confirm requirements. A TRO to extend the NWAAT by 50m will cost £1000 and the works will cost approximately £300.</p> <p>Discussion then took place about as to whether 25 metres would be sufficient. JW confirmed that there would be no difference in cost.</p> <p>CATG agreed to fund the works subject to the PC confirming extent of NWAAT and their contribution of £350.</p> <p>Update: Awaiting response from PC.</p>		TBC	PC
----	--	--	--	-----	----

b)	<p>Issue No: 3984 Improvements to crossing point in the High Street, Downton.</p>	<p>Parked cars and dark posts make it difficult for drivers to identify the crossing point. In addition, a resident who is blind and has a guide dog regularly uses this crossing point and finds the amount of tactile paving insufficient.</p> <p>JW has met with PC to confirm requirements. The works to paint the bollards and extend tactile paving will cost approximately £500. Further discussions then took place about the amount of tactile paving required. JW confirmed that it would be approximately an extra £100 for an additional row of tactile paving. PC requested details of standard guidance for tactile paving.</p> <p>CATG agreed to fund the works subject to the PC confirming the extent of tactile paving required and a contribution of £200.</p> <p>Update: Awaiting response from PC</p>		TBC	PC
----	---	---	--	-----	----

c)	Issue No:4163 Erect a No Through Road Sign – Pennings Drove, Coombe Bissett	In recent months it has been reported that satellite navigation devices have been misleading vehicles up Pennings Drove. This is a no through road and leads on to a byway. The farmer who lives at the top of Pennings Drove is repeatedly having to redirect drivers. This is now happening several times a week. Agreed action: PC have confirmed that a sign erected underneath each street name plate would be the preference. This work would cost approximately £100.	That the Area Board approves the allocation of £100 for the implementation of the works subject to the Parish Council confirming their contribution of £xx.	TBC	JW
4		New issues submitted to CATG			
5.		Other agenda items			
a)					
b)					

c)				
6.		Date of Next Meeting		

Southern Wiltshire Community Area Transport Group 22 Sept 2015

Report Author: Julie Wharton

Present: Chair - Cllr Britton chairman

1. Environmental & Community Implications

1.1. Environmental and community implications were considered by the CATG during their deliberations. The funding of projects will contribute to the continuance and/or improvement of environmental, social and community wellbeing in the community area, the extent and specifics of which will be dependent upon the individual project.

2. Financial Implications

2.1. All decisions must fall within the Highways funding allocated to Southern Wiltshire Area Board.

2.2. If funding is allocated in line with CATG recommendations outlined in this report, and all relevant 3rd party contributions are confirmed, Southern Area Board will have a remaining Highways funding balance of **XXX**.

3. Legal Implications

3.1. There are no specific legal implications related to this report.

4. HR Implications

4.1. There are no specific HR implications related to this report.

5. Equality and Inclusion Implications

The schemes recommended to the Area Board will improve road safety for all users of the highway.

6. Safeguarding implications